

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/063,186	MYER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Angela Ortiz	1732

**All Participants:**

(1) Angela Ortiz.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) atty M. Davis.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 June 2004

**Time:** n/a

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

34-40

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**ANGELA ORTIZ**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that claim 34 would be allowable if it included the novel feature of the choke ring gap size of less than 4.57 mm; accordingly a new claim was submitted, claims 34-35 were canceled, and claims 36, 37, and 40 amended to depend from new claim. The application was then passed to issue..